UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,858	10/12/2006	Matthew P.J. Baker	GB 040090	5815
	7590 08/18/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		SIVJI, NIZAR N		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/599,858	BAKER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NIZAR SIVJI	2617	

	NIZAR SIVJI	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property o	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	and the time period sectional in or	01 1 (41.07 (a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection and a considered after a final rejection and a considered after a final rejection and a considered after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection and a considered af	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	. 0		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argument filed on 8/10/2009 Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicant argument regarding Claim 1, 18, 23, and 24 that Van and Moulsey does not disclose or suggest "providing a plurality of random access slots that are selectively configured for permitting a temporary or permanent allocation of a portion of the plurality of random access slots based on the level of interest of the users of the secondary station" Moulsley discloses that radio communication system comprising a primary station and the plurality of secondary stations, the primary station having means for partitioning a single uplink transmission channel between random access and dedicated signalling transmission from the secondary stations and means for allocating a time slot for a dedicated signalling transmission by a secondary station. (Col 1 L 56 - 65). Van further teaches a Cable TV system in which frequency channels may carry data signals which are of interest for the secondary stations (Para 8).